







A fishing technology of glycated peptides

F. Nuti^{1,2*}, M. Marino^{1,2}, P. Ledwon,^{1,3,4} R. Latajka⁴, A. Lapolla⁵, M. Chorev⁶, P. Rovero^{1,3}, A.M. Papini^{1,2}

*e-mail: francesca.nuti@unifi.it

https://doi.org/10.17952/37EPS.2024.P2186

¹Interdepartmental Research Unit of Peptide and Protein Chemistry and Biology, University of Florence, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

³Department of Chemistry "Ugo Schiff", University of Florence, Sesto Florentino, Italy ³Department of Chemistry "Ugo Schiff", University of Florence, Sesto Florentino, Italy ³Department of Neurosciences, Psychology, Drug Research and Child Health, University of Florence, Sesto Florentino, Italy ⁴Department of Bioorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Wrocław, Poland ⁶Diabetology and Dietetics, Department of Medicine (DIMED), University of Padova, Padova, Italy ⁶Laboratory for Translational Research, Department of Medicine, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, U.S.A.

Introduction

Glycation is a non enzymatic post-translational reaction between glucose and amino functions in proteins at *N*-terminus and/or on Lys or Arg side-chains. Amadori products are well known in food chemistry, but also as intermediates of Advanced Glycation End Products (AGEs) involved in complications of Diabetes and other neurodegenerative diseases [1]. The non enzymatic glycation of peptides and proteins in human beings occurs spontaneously in vivo but is highly pronounced when persistent and excessive levels of glucose are present in blood as in the case of diabetes: the sugar-modified peptides may modify their functional conformation and lead to lose of their normal activity. Thus, detection, isolation and sequencing of glycated peptides is crucial. Solid supports modified with a phenyl boronate (PhB) moiety can be useful tools to fish out peptide-sugar conjugates in biological fluids.

In our previous work ChemMatrix® Rink (CMRR) resin modified with a lysine substituted two PhB units, PhB-Lys(PhB)-CMRR was found to be able to capture selectively peptides containing deoxyfructosyl-lysine moieties, which then can be efficiently and specifically detected by MS experiments [2]. In order to optimize this "fishing" methodology, in terms of efficiency and selectivity, we studied the capturing of deoxyfructosylated peptides (1-8) by (i) comparing the above mentioned the ChemMatrix® Rink resin (CMRR) with ω -Aminohexyl–Agarose resin (ω -AHA) and by (ii) comparing the same two resins, CMRR and ω -AHA, modified with mono- or di-PhB substituted Lys moieties.





Resin Washing swelling in B with B

B (basic): 30 min in an H-O/MeCN 1:1 (v/v)

ľ

Additio of Peptid

Washing Addition with B of A (1h)

e buffer (50 mM) at pH 8 in

Procedure description:

- Resin swelling in 1 mL of ammonium bicarbonate buffer (B) for 1 h, filtration. Dissolving a peptide in B. Solutions for the capturing will be in saturating concentrations, relatively equal to the amount of dry resin used and calculated taking find account the resin loading value. Capturing reaction, shaking continuously (1-1.5 h). Filtration.
- Washing the resin with B filtrates should c
- Cleavage reaction in 1 mL of acidic buffer A (1 h).
- Filtration Washing the resin with buffer A - filtrates should contain the captured
- - dic): 0.1% HCOOH in H-O/MeCN 1:1 (v/v) for 1h
- Value of the peptide residues in each tube in precise volume of H₂O. Upophilizing both solutions. Discolving the peptide residues in each tube in precise volume of H₂O. UV-Vis analysis of both samples measurement of Abs values, summing them up and calculation of capture percentage. Use of LC-MS analysis in the solution of the problem.

Capturing of naturally occuring sequences of glycated and glycosylated peptides by the new functionalized resin

With the aim to select and optimize a resin to fish out deoxyfructosylated peptides, as early glycation biomarkers of diabetes, in terms of efficiency and selectivity, we selected 8 peptides (Table 2): 4 Amadori end to the provide and by an end to the provide provide provide provide provide any stream pointment of an access in an end to the provide a stream of the provide and the pr



Comparison of CMRR Resin and AGRR Resin capturing ability once containing only one PhB moiety

Experiments 3 (A-C) were designed in order to determine the selectivity of AGRR and CMRR reasins toward the Amadori product when presented in a peptide mixture. Three solid supports were examined: Ac-Lys(*m*-PhB)-CMRR (4), Ac-Lys(*m*-PhB)-AGRR (9) and the commercially available AGRR (8530-4500) and the comparison and the commercial as a solution but at 5% of (IN-1-deoxyFru)[CSF114 and the set 9830 equimolar solution (mM) of eight synthetic peptides with two Amadori peptides in the exp 3C. These peptides were selected for the following characteristics: amino acid sequence, hydrophobicity, different length and bearing post-translational modification (DeoxyFru, Ac, PO₃H₂, and Pam). The mixtures were dissolved in an ammonium bicarbonate buffer solution at pH 8 and then subjected to the capturing reaction conditions described above

		Experi	ments 3A	and 3B (n=	2)
	RESINS	5		PEPTIDES	
A	(4) Ac-Lys(m-PhB)-CMRR			N ^{c.1.} deoxyFru vs Gic (1:1) [Lys ⁷ (N ^{c.1.} -deoxyFru)]CSF114 vs Asn ⁷ (Gic)CSF114	
	(9) Ac-Lys(m-PhB)-AGRR				
	m-Aminophenylboronic acid-Agarose (A8530)				
в	(4) Ac-Lys(m-PhB)-CMRR			N ^L -1-deoxyFru vs Glc (0.1.1) [Lys ⁷ (N ^L -1-deoxyFru)]CSF114 vs Asn ⁷ (Glc)CSF114	
	(9) Ac-Lys(m-PhB)-AGRR				
	m-Aminophenylboronic acid-Agarose (A8530)		A8530)		
	RESIN	Amount	Captured peptide		CONCLUSION
(4) Ac-Lys(m-PhB)-CMRR Captured		1:1	N ^r -1-deoxyFru		Resin (4) is able to capture selectively the glycated peptide, even at low concentrations
		1:0.1	Nº-1-deoxyFru		
(9) Ac-Lys(m-PhB)-AGRR Captured		1:1	N ^c -1-deoxyFru		Resin (9) is able to capture selectively the glycated peptide only when the peptide is present in high excess
		1:0.1	Nº-1-deoxyFru & Glc		
<i>m</i> -Aminophenylboronic acid– Agarose (A8530) Captured		1:1	N ⁴ -1-deoxyFru		Commercial agarose resin (A8530) is able to capture selectively the glycated peptide only when the peptide is present in high
		1:0.1	Nº-1-deoxyFru & Glc		

Conclusions

•Monofunctionalized (mono-PhB) resins more than bifunctionalized (di-PhB) resins are capable to capture the tested peptides; •Among CMRR resins, *meta* analogue (*m*-PhB) is more efficient and more selective than *para* (*p*-

PhB) analogue:

PhB) analogue; -Among all the monosubstituted resins, (4) Ac-Lys(*m*-PhB)-CMRR seems to present the consensus between the efficacy AND the selectivity. -AGRR – solid support containing –OH groups. CMRR– a polymer non containing –OH groups. In case of CMRR, the presence of the –OHs may affect specificity of capturing that depends only on the interaction with the PhB groups. AGRR beads may interact non-specifically \rightarrow lower respectively. specificity.

Experiment 3C (n=2) RESINS PEPTIDES (4) Ac-Lys(m-PhB)-CMRR equimolar amounts (1:1 (9) Ac-Lys(m-PhB)-AGRR in a peptide mixture m-Aminophenylboronic acid-Agarose (A8530) RESI CONCLUSION (4) Ac-Lys(m-PhB)-CMRR Only these three peptides are captured by Resin (4): hydrofobic peptide Amadori peptide 1, and amadori peptide 2 Resin (9) captures hydrophobic peptide, Amadori peptide 1, and Amadori peptide 2, but also other non-Amadori peptides (9) Ac-Lys(m-PhB)-AGRF Resin (9) is not selective when other, unmodified sugars are present in the MIX Agarose resin (A8530) resin captures hydrophobic peptide, Amadori pep 1, and Amadori peptide 2, but also other, non-Amadori peptides. m-Am ninophenylboronic acid-Agarose (A8530) Commercial AGRR resin is not selective when other, glycosylated per are present in the MIXTURE.



Perspectives

•Optimization work will look at the further modifications probing the spatial relationship between the two m-PhB

substituting the Lys. -Ac-Lys(*m*-PhB)-CMRR resin (4) will be tested in fishing the Amadori-modified peptide fragments from an enzymatic digest of a glycated protein

REFERENCES: [1] D'Aronco S, Crotti S, Agostini M, Traldi P, Chilelli NC, Lapolla A. Mass Spectrom Rev. 2019 Jan;38(1):112-146. doi: 10.1002/mas.21576; [2] Kjewska M, Nuti F, Warzbicka M, Waliczek M, Ledwoń P, Staśkiewicz A, Real-Fernandez F, Sabatino G, Rovero P, Stefanowicz P, Szewczuk Z, Papini AM. Molecules: 2020 Feb 10253(755. doi: 10.3300/molecules25030755.