Tackling antibiotic resistance by blocking signalling pathways

Rachel A. Johnson¹, Susanne Gebhard², Jody M. Mason¹

¹ Department of Life Sciences, University of Bath, UK
² Institute of Molecular Physiology, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Germany

https://doi.org/10.17952/37EPS.2024.P1080

UNIVERSITY OF BATH SUMPLY OF

1. Context

- BceRS is a two-component system (TCS) in *Bacillus subtilis*.
- BceRS enables *B. subtilis* to respond in the presence of bacitracin, a cell wall acting antibiotic.
- BceS is a histidine kinase and forms a key part of this signalling pathway.







domain

Figure 2: **A)** Cryo-EM structure of BceS showing the domain architecture (PDB: 8G3A).² **B)** Schematic showing the project approach: the use of a peptide antagonist to block signalling through the BceRS pathway by disrupting BceS dimerisation.

AIM: To disrupt BceS dimerisation and block BceRS signalling using a

peptide antagonist, rendering *B. subtilis* unable to respond to bacitracin.

WHY: This constitutes a novel means of tackling AMR with reduced

evolutionary pressure to develop resistance and leverages the sequence

specificity of histidine kinase dimerisation domains.

IMPACT: There is the potential to translate this approach to other two-

4. Library Generation & Screening

component systems in clinically relevant pathogens.



Figure 3: Schematic showing the protein-fragment complementation assay used to screen peptide libraries.

 Peptide libraries were screened using an intracellular proteinfragment complementation assay (PCA) based on murine dihydrofolate reductase (mDHFR).

 This methodology selects the strongest binder whilst also profiling for biostability, solubility and selectivity.^{3,4}

• A DHp homodimerisation experiment showed colony survival under minimal conditions only in the presence of IPTG.

 This suggests the BceS DHp domain can homodimerise in vivo and is amenable to PCA.



mDHFR1

PCF

mDHFR²

followed by restriction enzyme digest and intramolecular circularisation

p230d

Initial **adg** Library

12345678Heptad:bcdefgabcdefgabcdefgabcefgabcdefgabcdefgabcdefgabcgabadg Lib.:DELMAWIHEVKTPLTAMHLIIDRMEDKALKSQLSYEWLRIHLLLDQQLHQKRILNILLNIIVLIQLSLQKQQKQLLLLLK

adg Hit: DELMA WIHEVKT PLTALHL IIDRMEDKA IKSQLSY LWLRIHL LLDQLLH LKR

• An initial **14.1-million-member library** containing randomisation at the *a*, *d* and *g* positions was generated using whole plasmid PCR.⁵

Solubility Library

Heptad:	1 bcdef	2 gabcdef	3 gabcdef	4 gabc ef	5 gabcdef	6 gabcdef	7 gabcdef	8 gab
Sol Lib.:	DELMA	WIHEVKT	PLTALHL	IIDRMEDKA	IKSQLSY	LWLRIHL	LLDQLLH	LKR
	E E	R K	K R	E	R H	R R	E R	
	N K	Q N	R	Ν	Ν		N Q	
	К Т			K			K	
Sol2:	K E L M A	WI <mark>Q</mark> EVK <mark>K</mark>	PL <mark>K</mark> ALHL	IIDRMEDKA	IKSQLSY	LWRRIHR	LLDQLL <mark>R</mark>	LKR
Sol 3:	DELM <mark>E</mark>	WI <mark>R</mark> EVKT	PLTALHR	IINRMEDKA	IK <mark>R</mark> QLSY	LWLRIH <mark>R</mark>	LL <mark>E</mark> QLL <mark>R</mark>	LKR
• Next,	an	addition	al ~1-m	nillion-men	nber sc	olubility	library	was
incorporated into the initial hit sequence.								

• A single winning sequence was identified but exhibited poor



mDHFR1

Librarv

p230d

Amp

Digestion and

Cyclisation



Figure 5: Permutations included in the initial **adg** peptide library and the selected hit. This hit was synthesised with an additional C-terminal TAT sequence for cell permeability, but poor solubility was observed, as shown by the elevated baseline by UV-vis at 10 μ M.

• Two sequences showed an increase in the number of hydrophilic

residues and gave a strong helical response when analysed by CD.



Figure 6: Permutations included in the solubility library and the selected hits. CD analysis of the two solubility library peptides at 50 μ M in 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl prior to (solid lines), and following (dashed lines), a 1-95 °C melt.

5. Target Expression

Figure 4: Plate image from the PCA BceS DHp homodimerisation experiment. A) Positive control lacking trimethoprim. B) Assay conditions containing trimethoprim and IPTG. C) Negative control showing no

growth in the absence of IPTG. D) Schematic describing library generation using whole plasmid PCR

A truncated **BceS construct** (Q97-V334) containing the **DHp and CA domains** was recombinantly expressed and purified for use in biophysical characterisation. It was shown to **exist as a homodimer**.



6. Binding Characterisation

• An equimolar combination of the DHp-CA target with a solubility

library hit resulted in precipitation.



7. Conclusions & Next Steps

• Two peptide libraries have been screened and hits identified.

- When combined with the target, precipitation was observed, but SEC
- analysis of the supernatant showed the presence of a shoulder.
- The composition of this shoulder should be confirmed.
- Further modification of the peptides, such as downsizing may facilitate easier handling.

Figure 7: **A)** Size exclusion chromatogram showing the DHp-CA target construct exists as a homodimer in solution. **B)** Predicted structure of the DHp-CA construct.² **C)** SDS-PAGE gel shows time and concentration dependent crosslinking of BceS Q97-V334 with glutaraldehyde, consistent with dimer formation.



• Analysis of the supernatant showed the presence of a shoulder, potentially indicating disruption of homodimerisation.



Figure 8: **A)** Image showing the presence of precipitation having combined a PCA hit with the target. **B)** SDS-PAGE showing the composition of the pellet and supernatant following precipitation. **C)** Size exclusion chromatogram showing the presence of a shoulder, suggesting the formation of a complex of lower MW. • Investigate alternative buffer conditions to stabilise a potential

antagonist-target complex.

• Expression of the DHp-CA BceS target construct is soluble, therefore

the PCA hits could also be fused to the CA domain to assess binding,

should peptide solubility continue to be an issue.

8. Selected References

Koh A. *et al. Mol. Micro.* 2021, *115* (1), 157-174. DOI: 10.1111/mmi.14607
George N. L.; Orlando B. J. *Nat. Comms.* 2023, *14* (1). DOI: 10.1038/s41467-023-39678-w
Pelletier J. N. *et al. PNAS USA* 1998, *95* (21), 12141-12146. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.95.21.12141
Arndt K. M. *et al. Structure* 2002, *10* (9), 1235-1248. DOI: 10.1016/s0969-2126(02)00838-9
Kong X. D. *et al. ACS Chem. Bio.* 2020, *15* (11), 2907-2915. DOI: 10.1021/acschembio.0c00497